

UNITED STAT DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United Stat s Pat nt and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

A	PPLICATION NO.4 FILING DATES 11 79 STURING NA	AMED INVENTOR	J ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO 0
Γ	HM12/ FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20005-3315	′0405 ¬	BAWÆXI ART ÚNITÍ 9	PAPER NUMBER
				04/05/01
			DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/385,412

Applicant(s)

Strula

Examiner

Mr. Raj Bawa

Group Art Unit 1619



THE	PERI	OD FOR RESPONSE:	: [check only a) or b)]						
á	ı) 🔲	expiresr	months from the mailing date of the fi	nal rejection.					
	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.								
c c	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.								
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).								
App but	licant is NO	's response to the fi T deemed to place t	nal rejection, filed on <u>Mar 21</u> he application in condition for al	, 2001 has been considered with the lowance:	e following effect,				
<u> </u>	The pr	roposed amendment	(s):						
[□ wi	ill be entered upon fi	ling of a Notice of Appeal and a	n Appeal Brief.					
[ill not be entered bed							
		they raise new issu	es that would require further co	nsideration and/or search. (See note bel	low).				
		they raise the issue	e of new matter. (See note belo	w).					
		they are not deeme issues for appeal.	ed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially reducing	g or simplifying the				
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
	NO	TE:							
	☐ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):								
	Newl separ	y proposed or amendrate, timely filed ame	ded claims	would be allowa vable claims.	ble if submitted in a				
X	The second but does NOT place the application in condition								
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.								
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):								
	Clain	ns allowed:							
Claims objected to:									
	Clain	ns rejected: 1							
				has has not been approv	ved by the Examiner.				
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).								
X	Other lines 10-13). In fact, it is a well accepted principle in the art that VOC containing								
	aerosols are delivered via aerosol devices comprising a "container" and "means" for								
	delivery. The relative phrase "initial flow rate of" is not critical and clearly inherent RAJ BAWA, Ph.D. to the analogous disclosure of Thomaides. References are evaluated by what they PRIMARY EXAMINER								
		to the analogous of	disclosure of Thomaides. Refere	nces are evaluated by what they	PRIMARY EXAMINER				
		suggest to one ve	rsed in the art, rather than by th	יבוו אויבטוווט טואטוטאטופא.					